

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/580,989	Applicant(s) ONO ET AL.
	Examiner Daniel E. Kolker	Art Unit 1649
	All Participants:	
Status of Application: <u>RCE filed</u>		
(1) <u>Daniel E. Kolker (USPTO)</u> . (3) _____.		
(2) <u>Kevin Bastian (atty for applicant)</u> . (4) _____.		
Date of Interview: <u>21 September 2010</u>		Time: <u>1:45PM (Eastern)</u>
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1,9,12		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Applicant agreed to examiner's proposed amendment to put the case in condition for allowance. The changes to the claims that were agreed upon are in the attached office action</i>		
Part III. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Daniel E Kolker/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1649		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		